THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.APPA RAO

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION No.6896 of 2007

Dated:19.04.2007

Between:

Nikhat Afroon

…Petitioner

And:

The Station House Officer, I Town
Police Station, Nalgonda
and another.

…Respondents

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.APPA RAO

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION No.6896 of 2007

ORAL ORDER: **(per THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA)**

Heard the learned Assistant Government Pleader, representing the learned Advocate General, appearing for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is taken up on the basis of a letter, dated 29.03.2007, addressed to the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, by one Nikhat Afroon, alleging, *inter alia,* that her husband by

name Muktar Afroon Mohiuddin was illegally detained by one Mr.Vijaypal, Inspector of Police, I Town Police Station and he demanded bribe of Rs.10,000/- with a warning to murder her husband conspiring with one Mr.Lathif, 18th Ward Councillor, Naglonda, one D.Srinivas Rao and others.

3. In spite of issuance of notice by this Court, the respondents have not chosen to file counter-affidavit.

4. However, the learned Assistant Government Pleader, representing the learned Advocate General, stated that the petitioner, the alleged detenu, the Councillor of 18th Ward, Nalgonda, by name Lathif and Mr.Vijaypal, Inspector of Police, I Town Police Station, Nalgonda, are present before this Court today.

5. Mr.Vijaypal, Inspector of Police, I Town Police Station, Nalgonda, identified the said Lathif, who, in turn, identified the petitioner and the alleged detenu.

6. Upon questioning, the petitioner stated that initially, when she could not find her husband, under a mistaken impression she had given a complaint against the Inspector of Police, I Town Police Station, Nalgonda, the said Lathif and others. Subsequently, she came to know that the said Lathif had saved her husband and brought him to her. She further stated that as of now, her husband is free and she has no complaint against the said Lathif.

7. The alleged detenu, on questioning, stated that he was kidnapped from Hyderabad by one Padmalatha

and Srinivasa Rao of Nalgonda and was left at a place near to Zaheerabad. But, it appears that no crime has been registered inasmuch as no complaint has been made by the alleged detenu so far. Hence, it is made clear that if any complaint is lodged by the alleged detenu against those persons, the Police concerned shall proceed in accordance with law.

8. Having regard to the statements made by the petitioner and the alleged detenu himself, we do not find any reason whatsoever to continue the writ petition and the same is liable to be closed.

9. Accordingly, with the above observations and directions, the writ petition is closed, at the stage of admission. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

D.S.R.VARMA, J

D.APPA RAO, J

19.04.2007
dr/krk